	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/001,924	SAFFELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final</u>		
(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA.	(3)	
(2) Michael Fortkort.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 December 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6,11,and 12		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Fortkort authorized the examiner's amendment; see the Office action.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Clh Mogherale		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – it appropriate)